CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

| purpose of initiating the civil d | ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC | CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE O | F THIS FC | DRM.) | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS BRIDGEPORT DISTRICT PARENT ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR T BRIDGEPORT PUBLIC SCHOOLS | | | | DEFENDANTS BRIDGEPORT BOARD OF EDUCATION and FRANCES RABINOWICZ | | | | | | | |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Fairfield (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) | | | | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | | | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, NORMAN A. PATTIS, 383 ORANGE STREET, | | | 7 | Attorneys (If Known) | | | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI | ICTION (Place an "X" in C | Ine Box Only) | III. CI | TIZENSHIP OF P | RINCIPA | L PARTIES | (Place an "X" in (| One Box fo | or Plaintij | | |
| ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | ☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) | | 2400000 | | rf def 1 □ 1 | Incorporated or Pri | | r Defenda PTF □ 4 | nt) DEF 4 | | |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant | | nip of Parties in Item III) | Citize | en of Another State | 2 🗇 2 | Incorporated and P of Business In A | Principal Place | 5 | □ 5 | | |
| | | | | en or Subject of a reign Country | 3 🗇 3 | Foreign Nation | | □ 6 | □ 6 | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | | T no | | T | W. Charles Co. | Carren o | | 20 | | |
| CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & | PERSONAL INJUR' 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Fraud 717 Truth in Lending 885 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detaince 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement | TY | DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions | 422 Appe | SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights tt emark SECURITY (1395ff) c Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) AL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant) | □ 480 Consum □ 490 Cable/Sa □ 850 Securitie Exchang □ 890 Other St □ 891 Agricult □ 893 Environr □ 895 Freedom Act □ 896 Arbitrati □ 899 Adminis | aims Act apportions t apportions t ad Banking ce tion Corganizati er Credit at TV ss/Commo ge atutory Ac tural Acts mental Ma of Inform on trative Pro ew or Api Decision tionality o | ment g ced and ions ddities/ ctions atters nation ceedure peal of | | |
| | moved from Gite the U.S. Civil Star 4211 S.C. SECTI | Appellate Court atute under which you ar ON 1983 ause: | J 4 Reins Reop | | r District | ☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation | | | _ | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. | |) Di | EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No | | | | ıt: | | | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY | (See instructions): | JUDGE | | \ | DOCKE | T NUMBER | 200.21.000 | | | | |
| DATE 03/04/2015 | | SIGNATURE OF ATT | ORNEYC | OF RECORD | | | | | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | 201 | Comme | - |) | | | | | | | |
| RECEIPT # AM | NOUNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | | MAG. JUD | OGE | | | | |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

| BRIDGEPORT DISTRICT PARENT ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR THE BRIDGEPORT PUBLIC SCHOOLS, Plaintiff, | : | | |
|--|---|---------------|--|
| V. | | cv | |
| BRIDGEPORT BOARD OF EDUCATION, FRANCES RABINOWITZ, Defendant. | : | MARCH 4, 2015 | |

COMPLAINT

- 1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief against the Bridgeport Board of Education, hereinafter "the board," arising from the board's decision to oust elected leaders of the Bridgeport Parent District Advisory Council for the Bridgeport Public Schools, hereinafter "DPAC Executive Board," from their federally funded elective offices. The action seeks punitive damages arising under the First Amendment against Defendant Rabinowitz only. The action arises under the First and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution's equal protection clause and 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.
- Jurisdiction is evoked under sections 1331 and 1343(3) of Title 28 of the
 United States Code.
- 3. The Bridgeport District PAC is an elective body composed of parents of Bridgeport public students. It is a federally funded entity under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. Section 6301, et seq. The District PAC has a 10-member executive board, the DPAC Executive Board, elected from the

membership at large biannually. In addition, the DPAC Executive Board has an appointed parliamentarian and treasurer.

- 4. At all times relevant to this complaint, the DPAC Executive Board consisted of the following persons: Tammy Boyle, President; Claudia Emelaire, Vice-President; Faith Villegas, Recording Secretary; Migdalia Feliciano, Corresponding Secretary; Albert Benejan, Bilingual Secretary; Angel Resto, District Community Committee Representative; Miriam Egea, District Community Committee Representative; Randolph Brown, District Community Committee Representative; Eneida Lamourt, District Community Committee Representative; Maria Pereira, District Community Committee Representative. The parliamentarian was Nick Felix and the treasurer was Marylee Taylor. All were ousted from their offices by vote of the Bridgeport Board of Education at a special meeting of the board held on February 23, 2015.
- The Bridgeport Board of Education is the nine-member governing body of the Bridgeport Public Schools. At all times relevant to this action, the board was acting under color of law.
- 6. Frances Rabinowitz was at all times relevant to this action, and she remains, interim superintendent of the Bridgeport Public Schools. She is sued in her individual capacity only. At all times relevant to this action, she was acting under color of law. Her individual acts were intentional, and were inspired by malice, to wit: an actual desire to retaliate against members of the DPAC Executive Board on account of their speech.
- 7. In order to secure federal funding of the Bridgeport public schools, the board is required to adopt and maintain written policies designed to foster and encourage parental involvement in programs designed to enrich the education of disadvantaged children.

- 8. Consistent with this federal mandate, the board adopted a written policy to create a District PAC, one Parent Advisory Council for each K-8 school and a Parent, Teacher and Student Organization for each high school. Federal law requires that school districts receiving federal funds seek, and obtain, parental approval for parental engagement policies of the sort that created the elective DPAC Executive Board.
- 9. The District PAC operates, and has operated for many years, under a written set of bylaws. Those bylaws call for the creation of the DPAC Executive Board composed of a president, vice president, recording secretary, corresponding secretary, bilingual secretary, and district community committee representatives.
- 10. The Bridgeport Board of Education has never taken legal action of any kind to challenge the bylaws of the District PAC, but has, instead, adopted, condoned and recognized the bylaws as the governing instrument for the council. In so doing, the Bridgeport School Board has sought to maintain a steady stream of federal funding under the ESEA.
- 11. In October 2013, the District PAC adopted a conflict of interest bylaw to assure the council members had undivided loyalties to serving the public schools of the City of Bridgeport. Of particular concern to members of the District PAC were the efforts of charter school advocates and/or proponents to influence educational policy in the public schools in a manner inconsistent with the welfare of the Bridgeport public schools, and the disadvantaged students targeted for assistance in the ESEA.
- 12. Upon information and belief, no parent of any Bridgeport school child affected by the new bylaws initiated legal action of any sort to complain that they were either prevented from being involved on the executive board of the council or were deprived of a right to serve on the executive board of the council.
- 13. On February 20, 2015, at or near the close of the ordinary business day, the Bridgeport School Board noticed a special public meeting to convene at 5 p.m. on

February 23, 2015. On the agenda was a "discussion and possible action regarding the DPAC leadership." Because the meeting was a special meeting, there was no public comment allowed.

- 14. At the commencement of the meeting on February 23, 2015, counsel for the board distributed a memorandum recommending restructuring of the District PAC.
- 15. The memorandum and supporting document raised the specter of a disgruntled parent adversely affected by the conflict bylaw might possibly raise a First Amendment claim arising under 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 for abridgement of their right to freedom of association. Although counsel for the board recited boilerplate law on Section 1983, he could summon no case in support of this red herring. The fear of potential litigation on behalf of aggrieved parents was, in fact, pretextual. There is no clearly established right to be a DPAC officer while in violation of the entity's conflict of interest bylaw.
- 16. In fact, members of the DPAC Executive Board have been vocal and critical of the majority of the Bridgeport School Board, the current interim board superintendent, Frances Rabinowicz, and the incumbent mayor, William Finch, accusing them of seeking to undermine the quality of education for all students in the Bridgeport public schools by systematically underfunding the schools and showing favoritism to individuals supporting charter schools.
- 17. By a vote of 6-2 on February 23, 2015, the board voted "disassociate[] itself from the Executive Committee of the District PAC" and that "all references to the District PAC bylaws be eliminated from its Policy Statement on Parent & Family Engagement in the Bridgeport Public Schools."
- 18. By a vote of 6-2 on February 23, 2015, the board voted to inform the Executive Committee of the District PAC that it "no longer recognizes" it and that any federally funded support tendered to the committee, including office space and staff

support be terminated.

- 19. By a vote of 6-2 on February 23, 2015, the board "establish[ed] and recogniz[ed]" a new District PAC.
- 20. The vote described in the preceding paragraphs violated the federal requirement that any parent engagement policy be a product of mutual agreement between parents and the local governing authority.
- 21. This vote effectively amounted to an unlawful coup d'etat, dispossessing elected parents from the offices to which they had been elected, and thereby potentially placing at jeopardy continued federal funding of the Bridgeport schools.
- 22. Each member of the District PAC had a property interest arising under the Fourteenth Amendment in completing the balance of their elected term, said terms set to expire in June 2015, with elections for new two-year terms set for April 30, 2015.
- 23. Each member of the District PAC had a liberty interest in completing the balance of their elected term. By summarily ousting the current members from their elective office, the members have both suffered adverse action and have been stigmatized as somehow unfit, or suitable, to serve their community and to have leadership roles in schools attended by their children.
- 24. There is no basis in law or in fact to suspect or believe that the Bridgeport School Board stood in any danger of being sued by parents unhappy with the conflict of interest bylaw. The stated reasons for removing the District PAC were pretextual and were specifically intended to remove vocal critics of the current administration, including the Bridgeport School Board, Mayor Finch and interim-superintendent Rabinowitz.
- 25. Individual members of the DPAC Executive Board have, in fact, been vocal critics of the efforts of privately funded charter school advocates to play a greater role in the operation of the Bridgeport schools.
 - Defendant Rabinowitz intended to silence and discredit the DPAC

Executive Board by ousting it from the offices members were elected in retaliation for their criticism of her, Mayor Finch and certain Bridgeport School Board members.

- 27. Defendant Rabinowitz's actions as complained of herein were inspired by malice, as were the actions of the six School Board members voting to oust the DPAC Executive Board.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions complained of herein, the elected members of the DPAC Executive Board have been summarily removed from an office they were elected to fill, and deprived of the right to complete their terms. Each member has been retaliated against for their exercise of their right to freedom of speech. Because the individual members of the DPAC Executive Board do not seek to benefit personally from any award of financial damages, they request any punitive damages awarded against Defendant Rabinowitz revert to the treasure of the Bridgeport District PAC.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff claims relief as follows:

- a. A declaratory ruling that the February 23, 2013 vote violated the rights of the members of the DPAC Executive Board, and declaring the vote null and void;
- Injunctive relief ordering the defendants to restore the DPAC Executive
 Board to the position it was elected to fill;
- c. A temporary restraining order directing the defendants to cease and desist from efforts to deprive the lawfully elected DPAC Executive Board from completing its terms, and enjoying the ancillary support it has historically enjoyed from Bridgeport public school employees;
- Puntive damages awarded to the Bridgeport District PAC from Defendant Rabinowitz only;

Such other relief as this Court deems fair and equitable. e.

THE PLAINTIFF

By_

NORMAN A. PATTIS Fed. Bar No. 13120ct 383 Orange Street, First Floor New Haven, CT 06511 203.393.3017 203.393.9745 (fax)